

**RATING SHEET FOR SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM PROPOSALS**

PROPOSER:

\_\_\_\_\_

SUBCONTRACTORS: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

DATE RATED: \_\_\_\_\_ SCORE: \_\_\_\_\_ RANK: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Criteria Points (150) Bonus Points (50)</b> <b>Total possible score: 200 Points</b>
---

Raters: Evaluate each of the following areas and record your response (score) in the blank provided at the end of each question or statement. Explain your evaluation in the Comments section especially if full points are not awarded. The letter in brackets [e.g. Statement of Work-A] corresponds to the Statement of Work section being [e.g. ] evaluated.

**SCORE**

**RATING CRITERIA**

1. THE PROPOSER'S STRATEGY IS TARGETING ALL GEOGRAPHIC AREAS WITHIN ZONE, NOT JUST A MAJOR POPULATION AREA AND IS TARGETING A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF YOUTH.

Significant Strategy And Number Served	No Significant Strategy Low number served
25            20            10            5	0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 25)

**COMMENTS:**

2. THE PROPOSER IS OFFERING ACTIVITIES AND/OR SERVICES THAT ADDRESS THE PROGRAM DESIGN, PROGRAM ELEMENTS AND PURPOSES DESCRIBED IN THE SPECIFICATIONS AND IS CONSISTENT WITH THE RFP.

Very Consistent Meets Purposes	15            10            5	Not Consistent Meets No Purposes
20		0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 20)

COMMENTS

3. OUTREACH PROGRAM IS STRONG AND IS LIKELY TO RECRUIT YOUTH.

Very Strong

Not Strong  
At All

10

8

5

3

0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 10)

COMMENTS:

4. THE SCOPE OF WORK AND PROGRAM DESIGN ADDRESS QUALITY APPROACHES TO MEETING THE PROGRAM AND PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS REQUIRED IN THE RFP.

Strongly  
Address

Somewhat  
Address

Do Not  
Address

10

8

5

3

0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 10)

COMMENTS:

5. THE PROPOSER HAS ESTABLISHED ADEQUATE RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE NEW MEXICO WORKFORCE CONNECTION.

Very Adequate

Not Adequate

20

15

10

5

0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 20)

COMMENTS:

6. THE PROPOSER HAS ESTABLISHED ADEQUATE LINKAGES WITH EMPLOYERS FOR PLACEMENT OF YOUTH.

Very Adequate				Not Adequate
20	15	10	5	0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 20)

COMMENTS:

7. THE PROPOSER HAS ADEQUATE ADMINISTRATIVE EXPERIENCE TO OPERATE THE ACTIVITIES/SERVICES PROPOSED.

Very Adequate				Not Adequate
10	8	5	3	0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 10)

COMMENTS:

8. THE PROPOSED COSTS ARE JUSTIFIED AND REASONABLE FOR THE TYPE OF ACTIVITIES/SERVICES PROPOSED. THE PROPOSED COSTS ARE COMPETITIVE TO OTHER PROPOSALS.

Very Competitive				Not Competitive
Very Reasonable				Not Reasonable
25	20	10	5	0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 25)

COMMENTS:

9. CONSIDERING THE ENTIRE PROPOSAL WHAT IS YOUR OVERALL RATING?

Excellent

Very Poor

10                      8                      5                      3                      0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 10)

COMMENTS:

BONUS POINTS
--------------

10. DO THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES/SERVICES INVOLVE PRIVATE SECTOR BUSINESSES IN A COLLABORATIVE/COORDINATED MANNER?

YES	NO
10	0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 10)

COMMENTS:

11. ARE MORE THAN 65% OF FUNDS BEING SPENT DIRECTLY ON PARTICIPANTS?

YES MORE	NO ONLY 65%
15	0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 15)

COMMENTS:

12. IS THE PROPOSER CO-LOCATED, FULL OR PART TIME, WITH THE NEW MEXICO WORKFORCE CONNECTION FACILITY OR HAVE ARRANGEMENTS BEEN MADE TO CO-LOCATE?

YES	NO
10	0

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 10)

COMMENTS:

13. HOW EXTENSIVELY DOES THE PROPOSER DETAIL THE PLAN FOR  
ATTAINING PROGRAM OBJECTIVES?

Extensively  
15

Somewhat  
10

Limited  
5

\_\_\_\_\_ (score out of 15)

COMMENTS:

**EVALUATION TOTALS:**

CRITERIA Points (Add Questions 1-9) \_\_\_\_\_ out of 150

BONUS Points (Add Questions 10-13) \_\_\_\_\_ out of 50

TOTAL ALL POINTS \_\_\_\_\_ out of 200

RATED BY: \_\_\_\_\_

(Signature)

